

TERRITORIO DELLA RICERCA
SU INSEDIAMENTI E AMBIENTE
RIVISTA INTERNAZIONALE
DI CULTURA URBANISTICA

10

che "genere"
di città
per il futuro



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI
DI NAPOLI FEDERICO II
CENTRO INTERDIPARTIMENTALE L.U.P.T.

Vol.6 n.10 (Giugno 2013)

print ISSN 1974-6849, e-ISSN 2281-4574

Direttore scientifico / Editor-in-Chief

Mario Coletta *Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II*

Condirettore / Coeditor-in-Chief

Antonio Acierno *Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II*

Comitato scientifico / Scientific Committee

Robert-Max Antoni *Seminaire Robert Auzelle Parigi (Francia)*
Rob Atkinson *University of West England (Regno Unito)*
Tuzin Baycan Levent *Università Tecnica di Istanbul (Turchia)*
Pierre Bernard *Seminaire Robert Auzelle Parigi (Francia)*
Roberto Busi *Università degli Studi di Brescia (Italia)*
Sebastiano Cacciaguerra *Università degli Studi di Udine (Italia)*
Luisa Maria Calabrese *Delft University of Technology (Olanda)*
Clara Cardia *Politecnico di Milano (Italia)*
Maurizio Carta *Università degli Studi di Palermo (Italia)*
Pietro Ciarlo *Università degli Studi di Cagliari (Italia)*
Biagio Cillo *Seconda Università degli Studi di Napoli (Italia)*
Massimo Clemente *CNR IRAT di Napoli (Italia)*
Giancarlo Consonni *Politecnico di Milano (Italia)*
Enrico Costa *Università degli Studi Mediterranea di Reggio Calabria (Italia)*
Giulio Ernesti *Università Iuav di Venezia (Italia)*
Concetta Fallanca *Università degli Studi Mediterranea di Reggio Calabria (Italia)*
José Fariña Tojo *ETSAM Univerdidad Politecnica de Madrid (Spagna)*
Francesco Forte *Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II (Italia)*
Patrizia Gabellini *Politecnico di Milano (Italia)*
Adriano Ghisetti Giavarina *Università degli Studi di Chieti Pescara (Italia)*
Francesco Karrer *Università degli Studi di Roma La Sapienza (Italia)*
Giuseppe Las Casas *Università degli Studi della Basilicata (Italia)*
Giuliano N. Leone *Università degli Studi di Palermo (Italia)*
Francesco Lo Piccolo *Università degli Studi di Palermo (Italia)*
Oriol Nel.lo Colom *Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (Spagna)*
Eugenio Ninios *Atene (Grecia)*
Rosario Pavia *Università degli Studi di Chieti Pescara (Italia)*
Giorgio Piccinato *Università degli Studi di Roma Tre (Italia)*
Daniele Pini *Università di Ferrara (Italia)*
Piergiuseppe Pontrandolfi *Università degli Studi della Basilicata (Italia)*
Amerigo Restucci *IUAV di Venezia (Italia)*
Mosè Ricci *Università degli Studi di Genova (Italia)*
Giulio G. Rizzo *Università degli Studi di Firenze (Italia)*
Ciro Robotti *Seconda Università degli Studi di Napoli (Italia)*
Jan Rosvall *Università di Göteborg (Svezia)*
Inés Sánchez de Madariaga *ETSAM Univerdidad Politecnica de Madrid (Spagna)*
Paula Santana *Università di Coimbra (Portogallo)*
Michael Schober *Università di Freising (Germania)*
Paolo Ventura *Università degli Studi di Parma (Italia)*



Comitato centrale di redazione / Editorial Board

Antonio Acierno (*Caporedattore / Managing editor*), Teresa Boccia, Angelo Mazza (*Coord. relazioni internazionali / International relations*), Maria Cerreta, Candida Cuturi, Tiziana Coletta, Pasquale De Toro, Gianluca Lanzi, Emilio Luongo, Valeria Mauro, Raffaele Paciello, Francesca Pirozzi, Luigi Scarpa

Redattori sedi periferiche / Territorial Editors

Massimo Maria Brignoli (*Milano*); Michèle Pezzagno (*Brescia*); Gianluca Frediani (*Ferrara*); Michele Zazzi (*Parma*); Michele Ercolini (*Firenze*), Sergio Zevi e Saverio Santangelo (*Roma*); Matteo Di Venosa (*Pescara*); Antonio Ranauro e Gianpiero Coletta (*Napoli*); Anna Abate, Francesco Pesce, Donato Viggiano (*Potenza*); Domenico Passarelli (*Reggio Calabria*); Giulia Bonafede (*Palermo*); Francesco Manfredi Selvaggi (*Campobasso*); Elena Marchigiani (*Trieste*); Beatriz Fernández Águeda (*Madrid*); Josep Antoni Báguena Latorre (*Barcellona*); Claudia Trillo (*Manchester*); Maurizio Francesco Errigo (*Delft*).

Responsabili di settore Centro L.U.P.T./ Sector managers L.U.P.T Center

Paride Caputi (*Progettazione Urbanistica*), Ernesto Cravero (*Geologia*), Romano Lanini (*Urbanistica*), Giuseppe Luongo (*Vulcanologia*), Luigi Piemontese (*Pianificazione Territoriale*), Antonio Rapol-la (*Geosismica*), Guglielmo Trupiano (*Gestione Urbanistica*), Giulio Zuccaro (*Sicurezza del Territorio*)

Responsabile amministrativo Centro L.U.P.T./ Administrative Manager LUPT Center

Maria Scognamiglio

Sommario/ Table of contents

Editoriale/Editorial

Dalla città della donna alla donna per la città / *From the city of women to women for the city*

Mario COLETTA

Interventi/Papers

- L'empowerment economico delle donne nelle città/ *Economic empowerment of women in cities*
Teresa BOCCIA 23
- Questioni spaziali: la forma urbana della città influenza le possibilità economiche, in particolare per le donne/*Space matters: the urban form of the city influence the economics possibilities particularly for women*
Ana FALU' 35
- COST network genderSTE: creare rete per la parità di genere nella Ricerca ed Innovazione in Europa e oltre/*COST network genderSTE: Networking Gender Equality in Research and Innovation in Europe and beyond*
Inés SÁNCHEZ DE MADARIAGA 43
- Sfide di "genere" per smart cities più umane fra teoria, prassi e auspicabili scenari futuri/*Challenges of "gender" for more human-centered smart cities between theory, practice and desirable future scenarios*
Gabriella PULTRONE 57
- Muse, committenti, progettiste. Il lungo percorso delle donne in architettura/*Muse, contractors, designers. The long road of women in architecture*
Claudia MATTOGNO 71
- Sapere femminile e cura della città/*Female knowledge and care of the city*
Ida FARÈ 85
- LA CASA DI EVA. Progetti al femminile per la città/*EVE'S HOUSE. Feminine projects for the city*
Luisa CASTELLI 93
- Processi demografici e sociali, soluzioni innovative per comunità solidali, sguardi di genere/*Demographical and social processes, new solutions for communities' solidarity, and gender approach*
Assunta D'INNOCENZO 107
- Una città su misura. Servizi sociali e assetto urbano nella pubblicistica e nei congressi dell'Unione donne italiane (1960-64)/*A custom-made city. Social services and urban assets in the magazine and congresses of the Union of Italian Women (1960-64)*
Cristina RENZONI 121
- "Dance, dance otherwise we are lost". A reflection on the times of the city from an Urban Design perspective/*"Dance, dance otherwise we are lost". A reflection on the times of the city from an Urban Design perspective*
Luisa Maria CALABRESE 135

Linea e Forma: spazi pubblici lineari ed areali inclusivi e fruibili. Hoofbogen a Rotterdam/ <i>Line and Form: linear, areal, inclusive and accessible public spaces. Hoofbogen in Rotterdam</i> Maurizio Francesco ERRIGO	149
Identificare i parametri spaziali per le differenze nel comportamento di genere negli ambienti costruiti. Il flâneur e la flâneuse del XXI secolo/ <i>Identifying the spatial parameters for differences in gender behaviour in built environments. The flâneur and flâneuse of the 21st century</i> Tra My NGUYEN, Akkelies van NES	163
Attivazione sociale, soggetti deboli e spazio pubblico: il caso del parco di Rione Traiano in Napoli/ <i>Civic activation, vulnerable subjects and public space: the case of the park of Rione Traiano in Naples</i> Gabriella ESPOSITO DE VITA, Stefania RAGOZINO	173

Rubriche/Sections

Recensioni/Book reviews	191
Studi, Piani e Progetti/Studies, Plans and Projects	
Urbanistica di prossimità: competenza di genere o strategia miope? Reintroduzione delle valutazioni dell'impatto di genere nella pianificazione spaziale/ <i>Urbanism of proximity: gender-expertise or shortsighted strategy? Re-introducing Gender Impact Assessments in spatial planning</i> Lidewij TUMMERS	213
Green economy: un'occasione per le aree montane/ <i>Green economy: opportunity for mountain areas</i> Domenico PASSARELLI, Maria SAPONE	219
La Campania Felix nell'agenda nazionale dei siti di bonifica/ <i>The Campania Felix in the national agenda of reclamation sites</i> Anna Maria FRALLICCIARDI, Francesca CERISANO	229
Rigenerazione urbana e pianificazione guidata dal mercato durante gli anni della Thatcher/ <i>Urban regeneration and market-led planning during the Thatcher years</i> Antonio ACIERNO	239
La ricchezza delle città e loro prospettive future negli studi di Milan Zelèny/ <i>The wealth of the city and their future perspectives in the research of Milan Zelèny</i> Candida CUTURI	251
Dibattiti, convegni, interviste e conferenze/Debates, meetings, interviews and conferences	
Un'esperienza d'arte relazionale nel territorio della ceramica campana/ <i>Experience of relational art in the territory of ceramics in Campania</i> Francesca PIROZZI	255
La Risoluzione 24/XX al 24° CG di UN-Habitat - Nairobi 2013/ <i>Resolution 24/XX at 24° CG di UN-Habitat - Nairobi 2013</i> Teresa BOCCIA	259
Il mare e la città 2.0, partecipazione e condivisione per lo sviluppo locale di Napoli/ <i>The sea and the city 2.0, participation and sharing for local development in Naples</i> Antonio ACIERNO	263
Iniziative accademiche/ Academic initiatives	
URBANIMA: Centro strategico di ricerca sulla città "gender oriented" del LUPT/ <i>URBANIMA: strategic center for research on the city "gender oriented" LUPT</i> Teresa BOCCIA	269

Identifying the spatial parameters for differences in gender behaviour in built environments

The *flâneur* and *flâneuse* of the 21st century

Tra My Nguyen & Akkelies van Nes

Abstract

The aim of this research is to show how the spatial features of urban environments affect women's and men's behaviour pattern. The paper's first part reveals the concept *flâneuse* in the relationship with the *flâneur*. Then the spatial parameters of built environments are discussed.

As the results from this inquiry shows, correlations between the spatial configurative structures and how men and women use urban space were found on the one hand. In spatially integrated streets, an equal number of women and men were found. The more



The spatial analyses of Breda

abstract

segregated the streets tend to be the more they were dominated by men. On the other hand, as soon as the shops were closed, men dominated the streets. Women are using the street as corridors and not as a destination itself. When women use the squares in the evening or at night, they are usually accompanied by others. The use of space syntax showed that liveliness could be predicted by the structure of the city. Not only does the crowd provide the flâneuse a valid excuse to wander around the streets, a sense of security, but it's also a component of flânerie: to see and to be seen. Namely, for the flâneuse liveliness is one of the conditions to stroll around. Hence, knowledge provided from research seems to be essential for designing urban environment attractive for women as well for men.

Identificare i parametri spaziali per le differenze nel comportamento di genere negli ambienti costruiti **Il flâneur e la flâneuse del XXI secolo**

Lo scopo di questa ricerca è quello di mostrare come le caratteristiche spaziali degli ambienti urbani coinvolgono il comportamento delle donne e degli uomini. La prima parte del documento rivela il concetto *flâneuse* nel rapporto con il *flâneur*. Quindi sono discussi i parametri spaziali degli ambienti costruiti.

Come i risultati di questa indagine dimostrano, sono state rintracciate significative interrelazioni tra le strutture spaziali e come gli uomini e le donne usano lo spazio urbano. Nelle strade spazialmente integrate, è stata rintracciata la presenza di un numero uguale di donne e uomini. Le strade più segregate tendono invece ad essere dominate dalla maggiore presenza degli uomini. D'altra parte, non appena i negozi chiudono, gli uomini dominano le strade. Le donne usano la strada come corridoi e non come una destinazione in sé. Quando le donne utilizzano le piazze di sera o di notte, di solito sono accompagnate da altri (uomini). L'uso dello spazio dimostra che la vivacità urbana è prevista dalla struttura della città. Non solo la folla fornisce al flâneuse una scusa valida per girovagare per le strade, un senso di sicurezza, ma è anche una componente di flânerie: per vedere ed essere visto. Vale a dire, per il flâneuse la vivacità urbana è una delle condizioni per passeggiare. Quindi, le conoscenze ottenute con la presente ricerca sembrano essere essenziali per la progettazione dell'ambiente urbano attraente per le donne e per gli uomini.

Keywords:

Gender, flâneur, flâneuse

Identifying the spatial parameters for differences in gender behaviour in built environments

The *flâneur* and *flâneuse* of the 21st century¹

Tra My Nguyen & Akkelies van Nes

1. Introduction

In the field of architecture the percentage of architect and urban designer women is much lower than men. As one might expect, often the architect projects himself in the design he is making based on own preferences from own experience. Since a large amount of architects and urban designers are men, the results are based on men's perceptions. How are then these design solutions suitable for the other half of the population? Do gender differences play a part in the perception of the city? If they do, could spatial interventions decrease these differences? Finally, how do women in comparison with men use public spaces?

In order to understand gender differences in the perception of the historical city, one approach is to start with a reveal of a type that explores the city, the *flâneur*. It is one approach to understand the social differences between men and women. *Flânerie* means: watching people and being watched, but just that definition would not be adequate and would do the *flâneur* injustice. This character is a paradox itself, he likes to be secluded from the mass, then he would like to be part of the mass, he wants quietness and then liveliness. The contradictory of the interpretations of his experiences in the city makes him an interesting character. The distant aesthetic gaze, almost bored, makes the *flâneur* a special character in the city.

Interesting enough, the *flâneur* has a female version, the *flâneuse*. Among the critics, the existence of the *flâneuse* is debatable. Writers like Janet Wolf and Virginia Pollock claim that she cannot exist, because she cannot show the same behaviour as the *flâneur*, wandering aimlessly around town. As discussed in Deborah Parsons and Anne Friedberg writings, they claim she exists, but in a different form than the *flâneur*. Male history writers did simply not notice the *flâneuse*. The aim of this research is to reveal the behaviour of women in public space in Dutch built environments.

2. History of the *Flâneur* and the *Flâneuse* concepts

The term *flâneur* originated from the 18th century. It was described by Charles Baudelaire as 'gentleman stroller of city streets' (van Godsendthoven, 2005). The *flâneur* has a key role in understanding, participating and depicting the city. The *flâneur* participates in city life, but remains in theory an objective spectator.

The concept of the *flâneur* was founded beginning 18th century by the philosophers

Rousseau and Goethe. Baudelaire was the most influential author on the concept *flânerie*, but he never defined the *flâneur* literally. ‘The *flâneur* was an idle stroller with an inquisitive mind and an aesthetic eye, a mixture of the watchful detective, the aesthetic dandy and the gaping consumer, the *badaud*. A solitary character, he avoided serious political, familial or sexual relationships, and was only keen on the aesthetics of city life. He read the city as a book, finding beauty in the obsolete objects of other people, but in a distanced, superior way’ (van Godsendthoven, 2005).

The *flâneur* is a product of modern life and the industrial revolution, parallel to the references of the tourist in contemporary times. The arrival of department stores and the “Haussmannization” of Paris streets in the second half of the nineteenth century swept away large parts of the historical city and also the domain of the *flâneur*. The archetype of the *flâneur* disappeared with its surroundings, in favour of the women-oriented department stores. ‘The department store may have been, as Benjamin put it, the *flâneur*’s last coup, but it was the *flâneuse*’s first’ (Friedberg, 1993).

The *flâneuse* is not a female *flâneur*, but she is a version of the *flâneur*. She does not experience the city in the same way as he does. It is hard to define the archetype of the *flâneuse*, because the *flâneur* himself consists of paradoxes and many subcategories. Key concepts for *flâneur* and *flâneuse* are the amount of spare time, the aesthetic detachment towards objects, crowd and sceneries they see and their ambiguity about them.

The department stores were a starting point for the existence of the *flâneuse*, but this also marked her as a consumer, a ‘*badaud*’. The difference between *badauds* and *flâneuses* are the distance they create between themselves and the activities in the city. A characteristic of *flânerie* is an aesthetic distance between the subject and the object of attention. The *badaud-flâneuse* lacks this distance. The city is not being experienced, but is reduced to a place to consume.

As implied, the *badaud-flâneuse* did not have the full ability to *flânerie*. However, she has many qualities, which are at least some first initiatives to stroll around. Her domain moved from the interior of her home to the interior of the department store and sometimes even to the streets (Parsons, 2000). Shopping, art and day trips contribute to develop a certain view in that period of society, which was at the end of 19th century. Friedberg was very well aware that this new freedom was not the same as the freedom of the *flâneur* (Friedberg, 1993).

The *flâneuse* concept developed throughout the years expanded somehow further than being a *badaud*. She was discovering domains like art forms, like for example the cinema and the theatre at the beginning of the 20th century. But she was still objectified by men and patriarchic institutes. However, women became independent, without taking over the absent look and gaze of the *flâneur*. They changed their lives into art forms and had an opinion about the society they lived in. To gain respect as artists, the image of women as muses had to disappear. She had to claim an active role and to develop her own personality.

Through the literature, the life of the *flâneuse* and the female characters in the city, like passersby, artists, dandies and *badauds* are often interlaced with each other, and

difficulties they experienced are alike. The flâneuse often shifted between these roles, but distinguished herself by her independency and distanced. She became a symbol for post-modern urban life: a wanderer in many shapes. In figure 1 an overview of the history of flâneur and flâneuse is shown.

3. Flaneurie in the 21th century

Describing the developments of the meaning of the flâneur and flâneuse concepts through the history makes clear that the pure flâneuse or the flâneur does not exist. These characters were used to give social descriptions about street life images to a certain period in the city in order making it easier to analyse certain facets of the city. In the analysis of gender differences from a perception perspective, these metaphors help to understand the history and meaning of it. It is clear that flâneur and flâneuse are not each other's equivalents. The largest difference between them is the usage of public space, which has influence in their various perception of the city.


The writers such as Wolf, Pollock and Scalway made critics of the flâneuse concepts. Wolf's opinion is that the role of the flâneuse is impossible and remains impossible, despite the expansion of public activities of women like shopping and visiting cultural events. An important point they make about the non-existence of the flâneuse is the strong presence of separated spheres in 19th century. Women from middleclass were dedicated to the interior, the private domains. Women wandering the public spheres were branded as prostitutes. At present, seen in light with the emancipation processes taking place the last two decades, have brought the flâneuse to a more equal position with the flâneur in the invisible right to be in public urban space. However, aspects like safety and when and where women are spending time in urban space still have effect on how women use public spaces and affect the public spheres.

As an effect of our modern lifestyles, most people have very tight time schedules. Since women in Europe are working instead staying home, the flânerie concept is changing. A short stroll through town or practicing flânerie as lifestyle is the modern flâneuse. The daily life of these types could define how much spare time they have and in what category they belong as a flâneuse.

One of the flâneuse's features is freedom. It is about to see and to be seen, having the same freedom and right to participate in urban street life as the flâneur, to stroll around being a part of the city life and feeling comfortable being present on urban streets. What kinds of spatial conditions must then the built environment offer in order to support the flâneuse?

4. Space Syntax and static snapshots analyses

In order to identify the gender differences in urban space, the routes from the rail-



History of Flâneur en Flâneuse

ways stations towards the city centre in four different Dutch towns were investigated. They were chosen because of the eccentric location of the station from the centre and the quality the route has to flânerie. In Breda the route crosses a small city park, in Dordrecht the route proceeds along the inner harbour, Maastricht has the Sint Servaas bridge across the Maas, and Vlissingen has the green Boulevard. Not only is the scenery important for the flâneuse, but also the amount of crowd and the degree of liveliness in

Fig. 1 The development of the concept of the flâneur and the flâneuse.




Fig. 2 The spatial analyses of Breda (above) and Dordrecht (below).

urban space.

The Visibility Graph Analysis from the Depthmap software provides a good predictive model for movement. However, it incorporates only information on the configuration of space, and has no input on the location of attractors or generators of movement. Likewise it is not representing the variations types of individuals, their intentions for being in public space, nor their origins and destinations.

As the spatial analyses shows, Dordrecht and Vlissingen proved to have low degree of integration and street liveliness on the main route from the railway station to the marked square, while the opposite was shown in Breda and Maastricht. Maastricht actually scored best in the Depthmap analysis. By the way this can be explained in how strategically the location of the main route is in relationship to the local neighbourhood and city centre. The route is used not only to get to the station but also to move from west to east of the city.

The gate count method is based on observations in the towns on weekdays and in weekends. Flows of movement were observed and counted in the four towns on a weekday and in the weekends when shops are closed from 8 pm until 8 am.

First a number of points for registrations were chosen for each town. These points are located at street junctions. The categories used for the observation of human behaviour in public spaces are men strolling, women strolling, men walking, women walking, men




Fig. 3 The spatial analyses of Vlissingen (above) and Maastricht (below).

standing, women standing.

At each gate people's behaviour was registered every time they were crossing for a five minutes time period. Afterwards times are multiplied up to arrive a rate per hour. The results from the registrations were correlated with the results from the spatial analyses. In all cases correlations were found between the results from the spatial analyses and the registrations of human behaviour. The higher integration, the higher number of people frequents streets. There were no significant gender differences during daytime. After shops closing hours, men dominated the street. The results of these registrations are shown in figure 4.

Dordrecht and Vlissingen had low scores on the degree of liveliness in their streets, while opposite results are found in Breda and Maastricht. Moreover, as the spatial analysis and the results from the registrations of human behaviour show, people tend to stay longer in crowded integrated streets then in empty segregated side or back streets.

According to the theory of the natural movement economic process, the spatial configuration of the street network affects the amount of flow of human movement and the location of attractions in a built environment (Hillier et al 1998). The higher spatial integration of a street, the higher there is a natural mixture of men and women (Rueb and van Nes, 2009). Conversely, the higher spatial segregation of a street, the less people and the more the adjacent buildings tend to be mono-functional (Yu ye and van Nes, 2012). Hence, spatial integration of the built environment generates gender integration.

Moreover, women tend to occupy streets with adjacent buildings with various activities. Therefore, the results from these analyses can be useful to create a better environment for the flâneuse. It can be done in urban design through incorporating attractors

Breda					
Gender in %	Morning	Noon	Peak	Evening	
Men	61,5%	52,9%	60,4%	61,9%	
Women	38,5%	47,1%	39,6%	38,1%	
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Activity in %					Total
Men					
Walking	34,5%	24,3%	34,0%	7,3%	100%
Strolling	15,5%	51,6%	22,3%	10,6%	100%
Loitering	12,9%	58,6%	19,4%	9,1%	100%
Women					
Walking	20,5%	45,5%	25,0%	9,1%	100%
Strolling	15,5%	54,4%	22,0%	8,0%	100%
Loitering	16,7%	60,3%	17,5%	5,6%	100%

Dordrecht					
Gender in %	Morning	Noon	Peak	Evening	
Men	61,8%	47,0%	46,3%	54,7%	
Women	38,2%	53,0%	53,7%	45,3%	
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Activity in %					Total
Men					
Walking	22%	42%	26%	10%	100%
Strolling	12,2%	51,9%	24,2%	11,7%	100%
Loitering	18,8%	46,9%	21,6%	12,7%	100%
Women					
Walking	6,4%	56,1%	28,5%	9,0%	100%
Strolling	13,2%	51,5%	24,6%	10,8%	100%
Loitering	11,1%	51,9%	30,4%	6,7%	100%

Maastricht					
Gender in %	Morning	Noon	Peak	Evening	
Men	44,8%	46,0%	49,1%	51,9%	
Women	55,2%	54,0%	50,9%	48,1%	
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Activity in %					Total
Men					
Walking	21%	43%	26%	11%	100%
Strolling	13,2%	51,0%	22,4%	13,4%	100%
Loitering	11,6%	46,2%	24,9%	17,3%	100%
Women					
Walking	18,1%	46,6%	24,9%	10,4%	100%
Strolling	17,0%	52,4%	19,0%	11,7%	100%
Loitering	11,8%	51,2%	28,3%	8,7%	100%

Vlissingen					
Gender in %	Morning	Noon	Peak	Evening	
Men	53,3%	52,9%	58,1%	73,3%	
Women	46,7%	47,1%	41,9%	26,7%	
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Activity in %					Total
Men					
Walking	19%	50%	22%	9%	100%
Strolling	15,2%	53,8%	22,1%	9,0%	100%
Loitering	26,1%	42,0%	20,3%	11,6%	100%
Women					
Walking	19,1%	60,7%	16,9%	3,4%	100%
Strolling	19,9%	53,0%	21,9%	5,3%	100%
Loitering	26,3%	55,3%	15,8%	2,6%	100%

Fig. 4 Statistical data from all the 4 towns

along the main routes and integrating the route in the structure of public spaces to stimulate liveliness. Spatially, streets with high integration tend to be favourable to women, but only when shops and cafés are open. Since the space syntax method can indicate the spatial potentials for co-presence and co-awareness, it is therefore possible to apply this method in urban design practice. If women tend to avoid empty mono functional streets, space syntax is able to identify the spatial parameters causing or supporting these kinds of streets.

5. Conclusions

There are many continuations of old characteristics of *flânerie*, but there are also new developments in its nuances. The *flâneur* can wander through the city 24 hours, seven days a week without any problem. Still it is different for the *flâneuse*, especially when she has no valid excuse to be out there. Often it is bounded to shopping activities.

Likewise, the quality of the environment is an important factor for generating an equal distribution of women and men in streets. Hence, architects and urban designer have to be aware of the users perceptions of their design products. They are namely shaping the spatial framework for the opportunities for the *flâneuse*. The use of space syntax showed that liveliness could be predicted through analyses of the towns spatial structure. Not only does the crowd provide the *flâneuse* a valid excuse to wander around the streets, a sense of security, but it's also a component of *flânerie*: to see and to be seen.

In the observations in the four towns, no gender differences can be found, until shops are closed. Suddenly the *flâneuses* are abandoning streets. Women are using the street as corridors and not as a destination itself. When women are using the square in the evening or at night, other women or men usually accompany them. The *flâneuse* is limited in her freedom, because she feels unsafe after shops closing time.

The differences between the towns are not only influenced by spatial structure but also influenced by the number of inhabitants and attractors in the centre. Dordrecht and Vlissingen main route are spatially poorly integrated with the rest of the infrastructure comparing to Breda and Maastricht. They also have a lower numbers of cafés, restaurants and clubs than Breda and Maastricht, in which attract visitors to the town centre at night.

To diminish gender differences, street safety has to be taken into account in the urban design. This could be improved by integrating the main route in the infrastructural network of the city and by adding attractors, such as shops and cafes along the route. Then it is possible to generate an urban ballet throughout the day with a large variation of actors entering the urban scene.

FOOTNOTES

1 This paper was presented at the 7th International Space Syntax Symposium (2009)

REFERENCES:

- Friedberg, Anne. 1993. *Window Shopping: Cinema and the Postmodern*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Hillier, Bill, Penn, Alan, Hanson, Julienne, Grajewski, T. and Xu, Ju. 1998. Configurational modelling of urban movement network. In: *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design*. 20: 29-66.
- Parsons Deborah, 2000. *Streetwalking the Metropolis: Women, the city and modernity*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Penn, Alan, and Turner, Alasdair. 2002. *Space Syntax based agent simulation*. London: Bartlett School of Graduate Studies.
- Rueb, Lisette and van Nes, Akkelies. 1998. Spatial behaviour in Dutch dwelling areas. How housing layouts affects its users' behaviour. In: D. Koch, L. Markus, and J. Steen, editors, *Proceedings 7th International Space Syntax Symposium*, Stockholm.
- Scalway, Helen. 2006. "The contemporary flâneuse" |In *The invisible flâneuse*, edited by Aruna D'Souza and Tom McDonough. Manchester: Manchester University Press.
- Van Godsendthoven, Karen. 2005. *Women's Passages: A Bildungsroman of Female Flânerie*. PhD diss, University of Gent.
- Wolff, Janet. 1985. *The Invisible Flâneuse: Women and the Literature of Modernity*. Theory, Culture & Society, 1985
- Ye, Yu and van Nes, Akkelies 2012. Making Spatial Diagnosis in combining space syntax, Spacematrix and GIS of new and old towns. In: *Proceedings New Urban Configurations*. EAAE/ISUF international conference, 16-19 October, TU Delft, The Netherlands.

Akkelies van Nes

*Department of Urbanism, Faculty of Architecture, TU Delft www.tudelft.nl
a.vannes@tudelft.nl*

I am a full-time assistant professor working at the Chair of Spatial Planning and Strategy within the Department of Urbanism of the Faculty of Architecture at the Delft University of Technology. I defended my PhD thesis in 2002, on road building and urban change at the Department of Land Use and Landscape Planning, University of Life Sciences in Norway. My current research interests vary from urban renewal, planning theory, spatial modeling (space syntax research), developing spatial analyses tools, urban morphology, place phenomenology, space and crime, urban centrality, rural development, archaeology, to road building and urban change. I teach spatial modeling and research methods, I supervise students of the Masters Course of the Department of Urbanism, and I am co-promoter of PhD candidates of Prof. Vincent Nadin's Chair.

Tra My Nguyen

*Department of Urbanism, Faculty of Architecture, TU Delft www.tudelft.nl
tramy14@gmail.com*

Research Fellow at Delft University of Technology, Chair of Spatial Planning and Strategy within the Department of Urbanism of the Faculty of Architecture.